PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

-rom lite NTERNATIONAL SEA	RCHING AUTH	ORITY		D.O.T.			
To:			PCT				
see form PCT/ISA/220			INTERNATION	TEN OPINION OF THE NAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY PCT Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1)			
			Date of mailing (day/month/year) se	e form PCT/ISA210 (second sheet)			
Applicant's or agent's file reference see form PCT/ISA/220			FOR FURTHER A				
International application No. PCTÆP2004/002417		International filing date (o 09.03.2004	(day/nonth/year) Priority date (day/month/year)				
International Patent Clas B01D21A00, B01D2		both national classification a 2	and IPC				
Applicant COOPER CAMERO	ON CORPORA	TION		, and the second sec			
***************************************		av a menanda andre de la companya de	8 *****				
1. This opinion co	ontains indication	ons relating to the folk	owlng items:				
		-	J				
	☑ Box No. I Basis of the opinion						
A1100A	Box No. II Priority Rev. No. II Priority Rev. No. III No. 1 No. 1 Act at the North of policies with received to povelly invention step and industrial applicability.						
	☐ Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive slep and industrial applicability ☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention						
Box No. V							
☐ Box No. VI	Certain docum						
☐ Box No. VII	☐ Box No. VII Certain defects in the International application						
☐ Box No. VIII	Box No. VIII Certain observations on the International application						
2. FURTHER ACTI	ION						
written opinion o	f the Internations poses an Authori leau under Rule	il Preliminary Examining Iv other than this one to	Authority ("IPEA"). H	usually be considered to be a owever, this does not apply where chosen IPEA has notifed the lonal Searching Authority			
submit to the IPS	EA a written reply date of malling o	/together, where approp	riate, with amendmer	PEA, the applicant is invited to nts, before the expiration of three of 22 months from the priority date,			
For lurther option	as, see Form PC	TASA/220.					
3. For further detail	s, see notes to F	orm PCT//SA/220					
The company of the control of the co			and the second s				
Verne and mailing address			Authorized Officer				

Degen, M

Telephone No +49 89 2399-8612



WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/EP2004/002417

	David David Albertalas
	Box No. I Basis of the opinion
†	With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was field, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
	This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2	With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
	a, type of material:
	□ a sequence listing
	☐ table(s) related to the sequence listing
	b. format of material:
	☐ in written format
	in computer readable form
	c. time of filing/turnishing:
	☐ contained in the international application as filed.
	☐ filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
	☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3	In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating therefore has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.

4. Additional comments:

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/EP2004/002417

ox No. II	Priority	<u></u>				
The following document has not been turnished:						
Ø	copy of the earlier a	pplicatio	n whose pr	riority has been claimed (Rule 43bls,1 and 66.7(a))		
	translation of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis 1 and 66.7(b))					
Consequently it has not been possible to consider the validity of the priority claim. This opinion has nevertheless been established on the assumption that the relevant date is the claimed priority date.						
has be	pinion has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim sen found invalid (Rules 43 <i>bis.</i> 1 and 64.1). Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international date indicated above is considered to be the relevant date					
Additional observations, if necessary:						
x No. V łustrial a	Reasoned statem	ent und	ler Rule 43 explanation	bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or a supporting such statement		
	<u></u>					
velty (N)		Yes: No:	Claims Claims	5-15,21,22,24-29 1-4,16-20,23,30		
				- 14		
antiva eta	an //C1	Vac.	Claime	910		
entive st	ep (IS)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	9,10 1-8,11-30		
	The fol Consect neverthing op has been filling de ditional of X No. V dustrial a	The following document has copy of the earlier a translation of the ea Consequently it has not bee nevertheless been establish This opinion has been establish has been found invalid (Rul filling date indicated above is ditional observations, if neces x No. V Reasoned statem dustrial applicability; citation	The following document has not been copy of the earlier application of the earlier applications, if necessary: In the earlier application of the earlier	The following document has not been turnished copy of the earlier application whose proceedings of the earlier application who consequently it has not been possible to consine nevertheless been established on the assumption of the earlier application who consequently it has not been possible to consine nevertheless been established on the assumption has been found invalid (Rules 43 bis.1 and 64.1 filling date indicated above is considered to be extended abservations, if necessary: In the process of the earlier application of the earlier applications, if necessary:		

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

Re Item V.

The following documents are mentioned in the ISR:

D1: US 4 626 237 A (GALLOWAY JR JIMMIE G) 2 December 1986 (1986-12-02)
D2: EP 1 352 679 A (COOPER CAMERON CORP) 15 October 2003 (2003-10-15)

D3: US 5 248 421 A (ROBERTSON GLEN A) 28 September 1993 (1993-09-28)

2. INDEPENDENT CLAIM 1

The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claim 1 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.

Document D1 discloses (cf. fig. 1) a separator device for separating a fluid in its constituents using centrifugal and/or gravitational forces and characterized by having a feed nozzles for discharging the fluid inside the separation vessel located on a central pipe and connected to curved tubes, which direct the feed first radially and than tangentially with respect to the central axis of the vessel. The thus separated phases are collected at different levels by similarly shaped tubes inside the separator vessel and than sent outside the vessel after passing the central pipe located on the vessel's axis.

DEPENDENT CLAIMS

- 3.1 Dependent claims 2-4, 16-20, 23, 30 do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of novelty and/or inventive step (Article 33(2) and (3) PCT).
- 3.2 The combination of the features of dependent claims 5-8, 11-15, 21, 22, 24-29 are not known from the available prior art (Art. 33(2) PCT).
 - Their features, however, do not seem to introduce subject-matter acceptable under Art. 33(3) PCT since they refer to technical solutions which come within the scope of the customary engineering practice followed by persons skilled in the art, especially as the advantages thus achieved can readily be foreseen.
- 3.3 The combination of the features of dependent claims 9 and 10 is neither known from,

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/EP2004/002417

nor rendered obvious by, the available prior art. By discharging the heavy phases from holes located on the helicoil, better gravity settlement of the different phases within the vessel can be achieved. Thus an improvement vs. the separation performance of separation devices having moving parts (e.g. **D1**) can be achieved.

4. The reference to patent literature indicated on page 1 of the description does not seem to be correct.